

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	3 /	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/504,28	0 02/15/	00 CLARK	[4]	phoe-0057	
			ا 🗀	EXAMINER	
		HM12/0606		-	
Woodcock Washburn Kurtz			<u> FOME</u>	<u> </u>	
Mackiewicz & Norris LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Liber	ty Place -	46th Floor		4	
Philadelphia PA 19103			1647	ح	
			DATE MAILED:		
				06/06/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Application No.

09/504,280

Applicat(s)

Clark

Office Action Summary Examiner

**David Romeo** 

Art Unit **1647** 



The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
<ul> <li>after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will,</li> </ul>	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed nication.
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Dec	2000 .
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This a	action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex.	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-23</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)  Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s)	
7) Claim(s)	
8) 💢 Claims <u>1-23</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ure objected to by the Everniner
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.
<ul> <li>11)□ The proposed drawing correction filed on</li> <li>12)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa</li> </ul>	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received.
2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bu *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09504280 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-4, 9-17, to the extent that they are drawn to a modified TNF-α wherein the modified TNF is a mutated TNF comprising a lysine to alanine mutation, and a method of making same, classified in class 530, subclass 402.
  - II. Claims 1-8, 14-17, to the extent that they are drawn to a modified TNF-α wherein the modified TNF is a non-mutated or deleted TNF, and a method of making same, classified in class 530, subclass 402.
  - III. Claims 18-23, to the extent that they are drawn to a method of inhibiting tumor growth with a modified TNF-α wherein the modified TNF is a mutated TNF comprising a lysine to alanine mutation, classified in class 514, subclass 12.
  - IV. Claims 18-23, to the extent that they are drawn to a method of inhibiting tumor growth with a modified TNF-α wherein the modified TNF is a non-mutated or deleted TNF, classified in class 514, subclass 12.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The following pairwise combinations of products are independent and distinct, wherein neither member of a pair is required for the production or use of the other, and wherein each of

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

the pair can be manufactured independently of the other and/or used for independent and distinct purposes: I and II.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case invention I could be used an antiviral agent or to deactivate certain species of malarial parasites in vivo.

The following pairwise combinations of products and methods are independent and distinct, wherein the respective products may neither be produced by, nor used in the respective methods: I and IV; II and III.

Inventions II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case invention II could be used an antiviral agent or to deactivate certain species of malarial parasites in vivo.

Application/Control Number: 09504280 Page 4

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

The following pairwise combinations of methods are independent and distinct, wherein each member of a pair performs different functions, using different starting materials and/or process steps: III and IV.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches required are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the linkers in claim 3 or 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, groups I and II are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon,

Application/Control Number: 09504280 Page 5

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the tumors in claims 20-23.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, groups III and IV are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon,

Art Unit: 1647

including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

15

20

5

10

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David S. Romeo whose telephone number is (703) 305-4050. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:45 a.m. to 3:15 p.m.

If ATTEMPTS TO REACH THE EXAMINER BY TELEPHONE ARE UNSUCCESSFUL, THE EXAMINER'S SUPERVISOR, GARY KUNZ. CAN BE REACHED ON (703) 308-4623.

OFFICIAL PAPERS FILED BY FAX SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO (703) 308-4242.

FAXED DRAFT OR INFORMAL COMMUNICATIONS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE EXAMINER AT (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Application/Control Number: 09504280

Page 7

Art Unit: 1647

DAVID ROMEO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1647

JUNE 5, 2001